State Observers for Electrolyzers

Gustavo A. de Andrade

Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina Departamento de Automação e Sistemas, Brasil

II Workshop INCT CAPE Florianópolis, 08 de Novembro de 2024

Summary

- Introduction
- Electrolyzer state estimation problem
- Electrolyzer mathematical model
- A prime on state estimation
- State observer design for electrolyzers
- Final comments

Summary

Introduction

- Electrolyzer state estimation problem
- Electrolyzer mathematical model
- A prime on state estimation
- State observer design for electrolyzers
- Final comments

► This presentation focuses on monitoring tools of electrolyzers. The case study is based on a PEM electrolyzer.

Our approach is based on advanced mathematical models based on the laws of physics.

A much more complex study is being developed by the PhD student Franthiescolly Vieira de Carvalho supervised by Daniel F. Coutinho and co-supervised by me.

► This presentation focuses on monitoring tools of electrolyzers. The case study is based on a PEM electrolyzer.

- Our approach is based on advanced mathematical models based on the laws of physics.
 - A much more complex study is being developed by the PhD student Franthiescolly Vieira de Carvalho supervised by Daniel F. Coutinho and co-supervised by me.

► This presentation focuses on monitoring tools of electrolyzers. The case study is based on a PEM electrolyzer.

- Our approach is based on advanced mathematical models based on the laws of physics.
 - A much more complex study is being developed by the PhD student Franthiescolly Vieira de Carvalho supervised by Daniel F. Coutinho and co-supervised by me.

► This presentation focuses on monitoring tools of electrolyzers. The case study is based on a PEM electrolyzer.

- Our approach is based on advanced mathematical models based on the laws of physics.
 - A much more complex study is being developed by the PhD student Franthiescolly Vieira de Carvalho supervised by Daniel F. Coutinho and co-supervised by me.

Different type of faults in PEM electolyzer

Table 2 – Summary of different type of faults in PEM electrolyzer.		
Type of fault	Affected components	Causes
Mechanical degradation	Membrane	Perforation by current collector; pinholes introduced during MEA manufacturing: swelling and shrinking; nonuniform water uptake or water starvation
Chemical degradation	Membrane	Radical attack; metal poisoning
Thermal degradation	Membrane	Thermal cycles; thermal stress
Dissolution of catalyst	Catalyst/catalyst layer	High operating potential; the formation of soluble iridium (III) complexes during OER (oxygen evolution reaction); bubble effect; current reversal in shut-down procedures
Passivation of support	Catalyst/catalyst layer	High potential and rich oxidation environment
Agglomeration of catalyst	Catalyst/catalyst layer	Sintering or increase in the crystal size; load and onoff cycle
Ionomer dissolution	Catalyst/catalyst layer	High current densities; chemical attack by radicals
Cation contamination	Catalyst/catalyst layer	Active site blocked by under potential deposition; the proton of ionomer replaced by external cations
Mechanical damage	Catalyst/catalyst layer	Nonuniform clamping pressure; improper membrane swelling
Hydrogen embrittlement	Bipolar plate	Hydrogen absorption of metal plates in cathode
Passivation	Bipolar plate	Oxide layer formation
Corrosion	Bipolar plate	Titanium oxidized, and then corroded by F ⁻ ion; stainless steel corroded by acid
Chemical	Current collector	Passivation and corrosion of metallic plate
degradation		
Mechanical	Current collector	Improper compression; hydrogen embrittlement
degradation		

Kheirrouz, M., Melino, F., Ancona, M. A. Fault detection and diagnosis methods for green hydrogen production: A review. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 47, 27747-27774, 2022.

Approaches for dealing with faults in PEM electolyzer

Dash, B. M., Bouamama, B. O., Pekpe, K. M., Boukerdja, M. Prior knowledge-infused self-supervised learning and explainable AI for fault detection and isolation in PEM electrolyzers. Neurocomputing, 594, 127871, 2024.

Lebbal, M. E., Lecoeuche, S. Identification and monitoring of a PEM electrolyser based on dynamical modelling. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 34, 5992-5999, 2009.

Folgado, F. J., González, I., Calderón, A. J. Data acquisition and monitoring system framed in industrial internet of things for PEM hydrogen generators. Internet of Things, 22, 100795, 2023.

Jarvinen, L, Puranen, P., Kosonen, A., Ruuskanen, V., Ahola, J., Kauranen, P., Hehemann, M. *Automized parametrization of PEM and alkaline water electrolyzer polarisation curves*. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 47, 31985-32003, 2022.

Hernández-Gómez, A., Ramirez, V., Guilbert, D., Saldivar, B. Development of an adaptive staticdynamic electrical model based on input electrical energy for PEM water electrolysis. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 45, 18817-18830, 2020.

and many more ...

Advanced electrolyzer control/monitoring architecture



Summary

- Introduction
- Electrolyzer state estimation problem
- Electrolyzer mathematical model
- A prime on state estimation
- State observer design for electrolyzers
- Final comments

Electrolyzer state estimation problem

Given, at each time instant t, measurements of

- current
- tension

Estimate, at each time instant t, the

- Temperature
- Gradient of oxygen concentration
- Gradient of hydrogen concentration



https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/hydrogen-production-electrolysis

Electrolyzer state estimation problem

Given, at each time instant t, measurements of

- current
- tension

Estimate, at each time instant t, the

- Temperature
- Gradient of oxygen concentration
- Gradient of hydrogen concentration



https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/hydrogen-production-electrolysis

Summary

- Introduction
- Electrolyzer state estimation problem
- Electrolyzer mathematical model
- A prime on state estimation
- State observer design for electrolyzers
- Final comments

Operational voltage:

 $V = V_{Nernst} + V_{act} + V_{ohm} + V_{con}$

Equilibrium potential:

$$V_{Nernst} = E_{H_2}^0 + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \left(\frac{P_{H_2}^L \left(P_{O_2^L} \right)^{1/2}}{P_{H_2O}^L} \right)$$

Activation overpotential:

$$V_{act} = \frac{RT}{\alpha_{an}} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{i}{2i_{0,an}}\right) + \frac{RT}{\alpha_{cat}} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{i}{2i_{0,an}}\right)$$

Ohmic overpotential

$$V_{ohm} = RI$$

$$V_{con} = \frac{RT}{4F} \ln(C_{O_2}/C_{O_2,o}) + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln(C_{H_2}/C_{H_2,o})$$



Operational voltage:

 $V = V_{Nernst} + V_{act} + V_{ohm} + V_{con}$

Equilibrium potential:

$$V_{Nernst} = E_{H_2}^0 + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \left(\frac{P_{H_2}^L \left(P_{O_2^L} \right)^{1/2}}{P_{H_2O}^L} \right)$$

Activation overpotential:

$$V_{act} = \frac{RT}{\alpha_{an}} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{i}{2i_{0,an}} \right) + \frac{RT}{\alpha_{cat}} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{i}{2i_{0,an}} \right)$$

Ohmic overpotential

$$V_{ohm} = RI$$

$$V_{con} = \frac{RT}{4F} \ln(C_{O_2}/C_{O_2,o}) + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln(C_{H_2}/C_{H_2,o})$$



Operational voltage:

 $V = V_{Nernst} + V_{act} + V_{ohm} + V_{con}$

Equilibrium potential:

$$V_{Nernst} = E_{H_2}^0 + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \left(\frac{P_{H_2}^L \left(P_{O_2^L} \right)^{1/2}}{P_{H_2O}^L} \right)$$

Activation overpotential:

$$V_{act} = \frac{RT}{\alpha_{an}} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{i}{2i_{0,an}}\right) + \frac{RT}{\alpha_{cat}} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{i}{2i_{0,an}}\right)$$

Ohmic overpotential

$$V_{ohm} = RI$$

$$V_{con} = \frac{RT}{4F} \ln(C_{O_2}/C_{O_2,o}) + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln(C_{H_2}/C_{H_2,o})$$



Operational voltage:

 $V = V_{Nernst} + V_{act} + V_{ohm} + V_{con}$

Equilibrium potential:

$$V_{Nernst} = E_{H_2}^0 + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \left(\frac{P_{H_2}^L \left(P_{O_2^L} \right)^{1/2}}{P_{H_2O}^L} \right)$$

Activation overpotential:

$$V_{act} = \frac{RT}{\alpha_{an}} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{i}{2i_{0,an}}\right) + \frac{RT}{\alpha_{cat}} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{i}{2i_{0,an}}\right)$$

Ohmic overpotential

$$V_{ohm} = RI$$

$$V_{con} = \frac{RT}{4F} \ln(C_{O_2}/C_{O_2,o}) + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln(C_{H_2}/C_{H_2,o})$$



Operational voltage:

 $V = V_{Nernst} + V_{act} + V_{ohm} + V_{con}$

Equilibrium potential:

$$V_{Nernst} = E_{H_2}^0 + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \left(\frac{P_{H_2}^L \left(P_{O_2^L} \right)^{1/2}}{P_{H_2O}^L} \right)$$

Activation overpotential:

$$V_{act} = \frac{RT}{\alpha_{an}} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{i}{2i_{0,an}}\right) + \frac{RT}{\alpha_{cat}} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{i}{2i_{0,an}}\right)$$

Ohmic overpotential

$$V_{ohm} = RI$$

$$V_{con} = \frac{RT}{4F} \ln(C_{O_2}/C_{O_2,o}) + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln(C_{H_2}/C_{H_2,o})$$



Mass and energy balances

Anode side:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t C_{O_2}(t,z) = D_{O_2} \partial_{zz} C_{O_2}(t,z) + S_{O_2}(t), \\ \partial_z C_{O_2}(t,0) = 0, \\ \partial_z C_{O_2}(t,r_a) = -\frac{RT}{D_{O_2}}\frac{i}{4F}(t) \end{array} \right.$$

Cathode side:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t C_{H_2}(t,z) = D_{H_2} \partial_{zz} C_{H_2}(t,z) + S_{H_2}(t), \\ \partial_z C_{H_2}(t,0) = 0, \\ \partial_z C_{H_2}(t,r_c) = -\frac{RT}{D_{H_2}} \frac{i}{2F}(t) \end{cases}$$

Average temperature:

$$\rho_{av}c_p \frac{dT}{dt}(t) = h_{cell}(T_{amb}(t) - T(t)) - (V(t) - U_{tn})i(t)$$



Berasategi, J., et al. A hybrid 1D-CFD numerical framework for the thermofluidic assessment and design of PEM fuel cell and electrolysers. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 52, 1062-1075, 2024.

García-Salaberri, P. A. 1D two-phase, non-isothermal modeling of a proton exchange membrane water electrolyzer: An optimization perspective. Journal of Power Sources, 521, 230915, 2022.

Mass and energy balances

Anode side:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t C_{O_2}(t,z) = D_{O_2} \partial_{zz} C_{O_2}(t,z) + S_{O_2}(t), \\ \partial_z C_{O_2}(t,0) = 0, \\ \partial_z C_{O_2}(t,r_a) = -\frac{RT}{D_{O_2}}\frac{i}{4F}(t) \end{array} \right.$$

Cathode side:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t C_{H_2}(t,z) = D_{H_2} \partial_{zz} C_{H_2}(t,z) + S_{H_2}(t), \\ \partial_z C_{H_2}(t,0) = 0, \\ \partial_z C_{H_2}(t,r_c) = -\frac{RT}{D_{H_2}} \frac{i}{2F}(t) \end{array} \right.$$

Average temperature:

$$\rho_{av}c_p \frac{dT}{dt}(t) = h_{cell}(T_{amb}(t) - T(t)) - (V(t) - U_{tn})i(t)$$



Berasategi, J., et al. A hybrid 1D-CFD numerical framework for the thermofluidic assessment and design of PEM fuel cell and electrolysers. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 52, 1062-1075, 2024.

García-Salaberri, P. A. 1D two-phase, non-isothermal modeling of a proton exchange membrane water electrolyzer: An optimization perspective. Journal of Power Sources, 521, 230915, 2022.

Mass and energy balances

Anode side:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t C_{O_2}(t,z) = D_{O_2} \partial_{zz} C_{O_2}(t,z) + S_{O_2}(t), \\ \partial_z C_{O_2}(t,0) = 0, \\ \partial_z C_{O_2}(t,r_a) = -\frac{RT}{D_{O_2}}\frac{i}{4F}(t) \end{array} \right.$$

Cathode side:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t C_{H_2}(t,z) = D_{H_2} \partial_{zz} C_{H_2}(t,z) + S_{H_2}(t), \\ \partial_z C_{H_2}(t,0) = 0, \\ \partial_z C_{H_2}(t,r_c) = -\frac{RT}{D_{H_2}} \frac{i}{2F}(t) \end{array} \right.$$

Average temperature:

$$\begin{split} \rho_{av}c_p \frac{dT}{dt}(t) = & h_{cell}(T_{amb}(t) - T(t)) + \\ & (V(t) - U_{tn})i(t) \end{split}$$



Berasategi, J., et al. A hybrid 1D-CFD numerical framework for the thermofluidic assessment and design of PEM fuel cell and electrolysers. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 52, 1062-1075, 2024.

García-Salaberri, P. A. 1D two-phase, non-isothermal modeling of a proton exchange membrane water electrolyzer: An optimization perspective. Journal of Power Sources, 521, 230915, 2022.

Dynamic model

Defining the operator

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{A}(t) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \\ \varphi_3 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} D_{O_2} \varphi_1'' \\ D_{H_2} \varphi_2'' \\ -\frac{h_{cell}}{\rho_{av} c_p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathscr{A}(t)), \\ \operatorname{Dom}(\mathscr{A}(t)) &= \left\{ (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) \in (H^2(0, r))^2 \times \mathbb{R} | \ \varphi_1'(0) = \varphi_2' = 0, \varphi_1'(r) = -\frac{RT}{D_{O_2}} \frac{i}{4F}, \\ \varphi_2'(r) &= -\frac{RT}{D_{H_2}} \frac{i}{2F} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Then, the system can be written into the following abstract equation:

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}(t) &= \mathscr{A}(t)x(t) + S(t),\\ x(0) &= x_0, \end{split}$$
 with $S = (S_{O_2},\,S_{H_2},\,h_{cell}/(\rho_{av}c_p)(T_{amb}(t) + (V(t) - U_{tn}))i(t)). \end{split}$

Summary

- Introduction
- Electrolyzer state estimation problem
- Electrolyzer mathematical model
- A prime on state estimation
- State observer design for electrolyzers
- Final comments

A prime on state estimation

At each time t construct an estimate of the state by only measuring the output y(t) and input u(t).

• **Open-loop observer:** Build an artificial copy of the system, fed in parallel by with the same input signal u(t)



▶ The copy is a numerical simulator reproducing the behavior of the real system

Open-loop observer



The dynamics of the real system and of the numerical copy are

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$
 (True process)
 $\dot{x}(t) = A\hat{x}(t) + Bu(t)$ (Numerical copy)

• The dynamics of the estimation error $\tilde{x}(t) = x(t) - \hat{x}(t)$ is

$$\dot{\tilde{x}}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) - A\hat{x}(t) + Bu(t) = A\tilde{x}(t)$$

and then $x(t) = e^{At}x(0)$.

Open-loop observer



The estimation error is $x(t) = e^{At}x(0)$. This is not ideal, because

- The dynamics of the estimation error are fixed by the eigenvalues of A and cannot be modified.
- The estimation error vanishes asymptotically if and only if *A* is asymptotically stable

Note that we are not exploiting y(t) to compute the state estimate $\hat{x}(t)$!

Luenberger observer



Luenberger observer: Correct the estimation equation with a feedback from the estimation error $y(t) - \hat{y}(t)$

$$\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = A\hat{x}(t) + Bu(t) + L(y(t) - \hat{y}(t))$$

feedback on estimation error

where *L* is the observer gain



David G. Luenberger (1937-)

Luenberger observer



▶ The dynamics of the state estimation error $\tilde{x}(t) = x(t) - \hat{x}(t)$ is

$$\dot{\tilde{x}}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)A\hat{x}(t) + Bu(t) - L(y(t) - \hat{y}(t)) = (A - LC)\tilde{x}(t)$$

Eigenvalue assignment of state observer

Theorem

If the pair (A, C) is observable, then the eigenvalues of (A - LC) can be placed arbitrarily.

Proof:

- ▶ If the pair (A, C) is completely observable, the dual system (A', C', B', D') is completely reachable.
- ► Then we can design a compensator K for the dual system and place the eigenvalues of (A' + C'K) arbitrarily.
- ▶ The eigenvalues of (A' + C'K) are the same of its transpose (A + K'C).
- Define L = -K'. The proof is complete.

MATLAB » L = acker(A',C',P)'; » L = place(A',C',P)';

Example of observer design

We want to design a state observer for the continuous-time system in state-space form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} x(t) + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} u(t), \\ y(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} x(t). \end{aligned}$$

- We want to place the poler of the observer in $\{-4, -4\}$.
- Let $L = (\ell_1, \ell_2)$ be the unknown observer gain.
- Write the generic state estimation matrix

$$A - LC = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 \\ \ell_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -\frac{1}{2}\ell_1 \\ 1 & -1 - \frac{1}{2}\ell_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example of observer design (cont'd)

The characteristic polynomial of the observer is

$$\det(\lambda I - A + LC) = \lambda^2 + \left(2 + \frac{1}{2}\ell_2\right)\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\ell_2 + \frac{1}{2}\ell_1 + 1.$$

• Impose the polynomial equals the desired one $(\lambda + 4)^2 = \lambda^2 + 8\lambda + 16$.

Solve the linear system of equations in ℓ_1 , ℓ_2 and get

$$\ell_1 = 18, \quad \ell_2 = 12$$

The resulting Luenberger observer is

$$\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -9\\ 1 & -7 \end{pmatrix} \hat{x}(t) + \begin{pmatrix} 2\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} u(t) + \begin{pmatrix} 18\\ 12 \end{pmatrix} y(t)$$

Example of observer design (simulations)



Comparison of different observer gains

Response from initial conditions

$$x(0) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \hat{x}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for } u(t) = 0.1$$

A fast observer often implies large estimation errors in the transient

Summary

- Introduction
- Electrolyzer state estimation problem
- Electrolyzer mathematical model
- A prime on state estimation
- State observer design for electrolyzers
- Final comments

Back to our electrolyzer state estimation problem

- It seems that the a Luenberger observer design cannot be directly applied into our problem.
- ▶ Note that we are not measuring an state directly, and therefore we would have

$$\dot{x}(t) = \mathscr{A}(t)x(t) + S(t) + \underbrace{L\left(\begin{array}{c}I(t)\\V(t) - \hat{V}(t)\end{array}\right)}_{V(t), t},$$

injection terms

There is no feedback on the estimation error!

Back to our electrolyzer state estimation problem

- It seems that the a Luenberger observer design cannot be directly applied into our problem.
- ▶ Note that we are not measuring an state directly, and therefore we would have

$$\dot{x}(t) = \mathscr{A}(t)x(t) + S(t) + \underbrace{L\left(\begin{array}{c}I(t)\\V(t) - \hat{V}(t)\end{array}\right)}_{V(t), t},$$

injection terms

There is no feedback on the estimation error!

Exploring the temperature dynamics and the operational voltage

Recall that the temperature dynamics and the operational voltage are given by

$$\rho_{av}c_{p}\frac{dT}{dt}(t) = h_{cell}(T_{amb}(t) - T(t)) + (V(t) - U_{tn})i(t),$$

$$V(t) = V_{Nernst}(t) + V_{act}(t) + V_{ohm}(t) + V_{con}(t)$$

- The goal of is to obtain an inversion of the function V with respect to the concentrations.
- First, we simplify the average temperature to derive an expression for T only in terms of time, ambient temperature and current:

$$\rho_{av}c_p\frac{dT}{dt}(t) = \chi(t)T(t) + \boldsymbol{\omega(t)}$$

The terms in ω are obtained by substituting T by T_{amb} and c_{co2} and c_{H2O} by their relations with the current.

Then, it holds that

$$T(t) = T(0)e^{\frac{1}{\rho^{av}c_p}\int_0^t \chi(\tau)d\tau} + \frac{1}{\rho^{av}cp}\int_0^t e^{\frac{1}{\rho^{av}c_p}\int_0^{t-\tau}\chi(s)ds}\omega(\tau)d\tau$$

Exploring the temperature dynamics and the operational voltage

Substituting $\check{T}(t) \triangleq T(t, i(t), T_{amb}(t))$ into the operational voltage equation, we obtain

$$\check{V}(t) \triangleq V(t, \check{T}(t), C_{H_2}, i(t)) \triangleq g(t, C_{H_2}, i(t)).$$

As long as g is a bijection with respect to C_{H_2} , uniformly in i, one could invert it to obtain the concentration as a function of the measurements (V(t), i(t)):

$$C_{H_2}(t,r) = h_1(t,V(t),i(t)).$$

A similar procedure can be applied to obtain $C_{O_2}(t,r) = h_2(t, V(t), i(t))$.

Electrolyzer Luenberger observer

Now, a Luenberger state observer can be designed:

$$\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = \tilde{A}(t)\hat{x}(t) + S(t) + L \left(\begin{array}{c} C_{O_2}(t,1) - \hat{C}_{O_2}(t,1) \\ C_{H_2}(t,1) - \hat{C}_{H_2}(t,1) \end{array}\right)$$

Error dynamics

$$\dot{\tilde{x}}(t) = \tilde{A}(t)\tilde{x}(t) + L\tilde{y}(t)$$

Desired error dynamics

 Using the observer gains, we want to map the error dynamics into the following exponentially stable system

$$\dot{\tilde{w}}(t) = \mathfrak{A}\tilde{w}(t)$$

with

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{A} \left(\begin{array}{c} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{array} \right) &= \left(\begin{array}{c} D_{H_2} \varphi_1'' + \lambda_1 \varphi_1 \\ D_{O_2} \varphi_2'' + \lambda_2 \varphi_2 \end{array} \right), \ \forall (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}), \\ \operatorname{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}) &= \left\{ (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in (H^2(0, r))^2; \varphi_1(0) = \varphi_2(0) = 0, \, \varphi_1'(r) = -\frac{1}{2} \varphi_1(r), \\ \varphi_2'(r) &= -\frac{1}{2} \varphi_2(r) \right\}. \end{split}$$

λ₁, λ₂ is a free parameter to be chosen, which determines the convergence rate of the observer state to the real system.

► If

$$0 < \lambda_1 \frac{D_{H_2}}{4} \quad 0 < \lambda_2 \frac{D_{O_2}}{4}$$

then for any initial condition $\tilde{w}_0 \in L^2(0, r)$, the \tilde{w} -system is exponentially stable.

Gains design

Using the backstepping approach it is possible to obtain an explicit expression for the gains:

$$\begin{split} l_1 &= \frac{-\lambda_1 r_1}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1(r_1^2 - 1)}} \left(I_1(\sqrt{\lambda_1(r_1^2 - 1)}) - \frac{2\lambda_1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1(r_1^2 - 1)}} I_2(\sqrt{\lambda_1(r_1^2 - 1)}) \right), \\ l_2 &= \frac{-\lambda_2 r_2}{2\sqrt{\lambda_2(r_2^2 - 1)}} \left(I_1(\sqrt{\lambda_1(r_2^2 - 1)}) - \frac{2\lambda_1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1(r_2^2 - 1)}} I_2(\sqrt{\lambda_1(r_2^2 - 1)}) \right), \\ l_{10} &= \frac{1}{2}(3 - \lambda_1), \qquad l_{20} = \frac{1}{2}(3 - \lambda_2) \end{split}$$

Summary of the algorithm

1 - Given λ_1 , λ_2 , compute, in an off line fashion, the observer gains::

$$\begin{split} l_1 &= \frac{-\lambda_1 r_1}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1(r_1^2 - 1)}} \left(I_1(\sqrt{\lambda_1(r_1^2 - 1)}) - \frac{2\lambda_1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1(r_1^2 - 1)}} I_2(\sqrt{\lambda_1(r_1^2 - 1)}) \right), \\ l_2 &= \frac{-\lambda_2 r_2}{2\sqrt{\lambda_2(r_2^2 - 1)}} \left(I_1(\sqrt{\lambda_1(r_2^2 - 1)}) - \frac{2\lambda_1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1(r_2^2 - 1)}} I_2(\sqrt{\lambda_1(r_2^2 - 1)}) \right), \\ l_{10} &= \frac{1}{2}(3 - \lambda_1), \quad l_{20} &= \frac{1}{2}(3 - \lambda_2) \end{split}$$

Then, online do:

2 - Measure V and i;

3 - Compute the observed states by solving the differential equations;

Summary

- Introduction
- Electrolyzer state estimation problem
- Electrolyzer mathematical model
- A prime on state estimation
- State observer design for electrolyzers
- Final comments

Final comments

- The observer is characterized by only two tuning parameters thereby making calibration significantly simpler than KF-based estimators, for example.
- ▶ The internal average temperature can be monitored in an open-loop framework.
- Some simplifications were necessary for the proposed design.
- Directions for future work include the design of an observer for the hydrodynamics of the plant.

Muchas gracias!

Obrigado!